h2omlgof — Compare goodness of fit for machine learning models+

⁺This command includes features that are part of StataNow.

Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax
Options	Remarks and examples	Stored results	Also see

Description

h2omlgof reports goodness of fit after the h2oml *rf* and h2oml *gbm* commands. This command creates a table with side-by-side performance metrics from selected machine learning methods or models for easy comparison.

Quick start

Goodness of fit for comparing stored estimation results myrf and mygbm

h2omlgof myrf mygbm

Goodness-of-fit for comparing all stored estimation results using H2O frame mynewframe

h2omlgof *, frame(mynewframe)

Menu

 $Statistics > H2O \ machine \ learning$

Syntax

h2omlgof namelist [, options]

namelist is a name of a stored estimation result, a list of names, _all, or *. _all or * requests all stored results. See [H2OML] h2omlest.

options	Description
Main	
<pre>title(string)</pre>	specify the title to be displayed above the table
train	specify that performance metrics be reported using training results
valid	specify that performance metrics be reported using validation results
cv	specify that performance metrics be reported using cross-validation results
test	specify that performance metrics be computed using the testing frame
<pre>test(framename)</pre>	specify that performance metrics be computed using data in testing frame <i>framename</i>
<pre>frame(framename)</pre>	specify that performance metrics be computed using data in H2O frame <i>framename</i>
<pre>framelabel(string)</pre>	label frame as <i>string</i> in the output

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

train, valid, cv, test, test(), frame(), and framelabel() do not appear in the dialog box.

Options

∫ Main]

title(*string*) specifies the title to be displayed above the table.

The following options are available with h2omlgof but are not shown in the dialog box:

- train, valid, cv, test, test(), and frame() specify the H2O frame for which performance metrics are reported. Only one of train, valid, cv, test, test(), or frame() is allowed.
 - train specifies that performance metrics be reported using training results. This is the default when neither validation nor cross-validation is performed during estimation and when a postestimation frame has not been set with h2omlpostestframe.
 - valid specifies that performance metrics be reported using validation results. This is the default when validation is performed during estimation and when a postestimation frame has not been set with h2omlpostestframe. valid may be specified only when the validframe() option is specified with h2oml *gbm* or h2oml *rf*.
 - cv specifies that performance metrics be reported using cross-validation results. This is the default when cross-validation is performed during estimation and when a postestimation frame has not been set with h2omlpostestframe. cv may be specified only when the cv or cv() option is specified with h2oml gbm or h2oml rf.

- test specifies that performance metrics be computed on the testing frame specified with h2omlpostestframe. This is the default when a testing frame is specified with h2omlpostestframe. test may be specified only after a testing frame is set with h2omlpostestframe. test is necessary only when a subsequent h2omlpostestframe command is used to set a default postestimation frame other than the testing frame.
- test(framename) specifies that performance metrics be computed using data in testing frame framename and is rarely used. This option is most useful when running a single postestimation command on the named frame. If multiple postestimation commands are to be run on the same test frame, h2omlpostestframe provides a more convenient and computationally efficient process for doing this.
- frame(*framename*) specifies that performance metrics be computed using the data in H2O frame *framename*.
- framelabel(string) specifies the label to be used for the frame in the output. This option is not allowed
 with the cv option.

```
stata.com
```

Remarks and examples

The h2omlgof command provides a concise table of performance metrics for comparing different machine learning methods or models.

After h2oml gbregress and h2oml rfregress, h2omlgof reports the deviance, mean squared error (MSE), root mean squared error (RMSE), root mean squared logarithmic error (RMSLE), mean absolute error (MAE), and R^2 . After h2oml gbbinclass and h2oml rfbinclass, it reports log loss, mean of per-class error rates, area under the curve (AUC), area under the precision-recall curve (AUCPR), Gini coefficient, MSE, and RMSE. Finally, after h2oml gbmulticlass and h2oml rfmulticlass, it reports log loss, mean of per-class error rates, MSE, and RMSE. See [H2OML] *metric_option* for more information on the reported metrics.

Example 1: Comparing performance in H2OML

In this example, we use h2omlgof to compare results of h2oml rf and h2oml gbm.

We start by opening the 1978 automobile data (auto.dta) in Stata and then putting the data into an H2O frame. Recall that h2o init initiates an H2O cluster, _h2oframe put loads the current Stata dataset into an H2O frame, and _h2oframe change makes the specified frame the current H2O frame. We then use the _h2oframe split command to randomly split the auto frame into a training frame (70% of observations), a validation frame (20% of observations), and a testing frame (10% of observations), which we name train, valid, and test, respectively. We also change the current frame to train. For details, see Prepare your data for H2O machine learning in Stata in [H2OML] h2oml and [H2OML] H2O setup.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r18/auto
(1978 automobile data)
. h20 init
 (output omitted)
. _h20frame _put, into(auto)
Progress (%): 0 100
. _h20frame split auto, into(train valid test) split(0.7 0.2 0.1) rseed(19)
. _h20frame change train
```

We perform random forest binary classification with default values, and we specify the validation frame in the validframe() option. We store the estimation results by using the h2omlest store command.

```
. h2oml rfbinclass foreign price length weight, validframe(valid)
> h2orseed(19)
Progress (%): 0 60.0 100
Random forest binary classification using H20
Response: foreign
Frame:
                                     Number of observations:
 Training:
           train
                                                Training =
                                                               57
 Validation: valid
                                               Validation =
                                                               10
Model parameters
                   = 50
Number of trees
             actual = 50
Tree depth:
                                     Pred. sampling value =
                                                               -1
          Input max = 20
                                    Sampling rate =
                                                             .632
                min = 3
                                    No. of bins cat.
                                                       = 1.024
                                    No. of bins root
                                                       = 1,024
                avg = 5.7
                                                       =
                max = 8
                                    No. of bins cont.
                                                               20
Min. obs. leaf split =
                       1
                                     Min. split thresh. = .00001
```

Metric summary

Training	Validation
.8466057 .0625 .9235294 .6822189 .8470588	.3177202 .1666667 .9047619 .8512376 .8095238 .11421
.3079434	.3379497
	.8466057 .0625 .9235294 .6822189 .8470588 .0948292

. h2omlest store RF

Next we perform gradient boosting binary classification and store the estimation results.

```
. h2oml gbbinclass foreign price length weight, validframe(valid)
> h2orseed(19)
Progress (%): 0 100
Gradient boosting binary classification using H2O
Response: foreign
Loss:
         Bernoulli
Frame:
                                     Number of observations:
 Training: train
                                                Training =
                                                              57
 Validation: valid
                                              Validation =
                                                              10
Model parameters
Number of trees
                   = 50
                                     Learning rate
                                                        =
                                                               .1
             actual = 50
                                     Learning rate decay =
                                                               1
                                     Pred. sampling rate =
Tree depth:
                                                               1
          Input max =
                       5
                                    Sampling rate
                                                     =
                                                               1
                min =
                      2
                                    No. of bins cat. = 1,024
                avg = 2.9
                                    No. of bins root = 1,024
                                    No. of bins cont. =
                max = 4
                                                              20
                                    Min. split thresh. = .00001
Min. obs. leaf split = 10
```

Metric	summary
--------	---------

Metric	Training	Validation
Log loss	.1072901	.2774807
Mean class error	.0125	.0714286
AUC	.9955882	.952381
AUCPR	.9889171	.904106
Gini coefficient	.9911765	.9047619
MSE	.0261993	.1002502
RMSE	.161862	.3166232

. h2omlest store GBM

To compare random forest (RF) and gradient boosting machine (GBM) models, we type

. h2omlgof RF GBM

```
Performance metrics for model comparison using H2O
Training frame:
                  train
Validation frame: valid
                                  RF
                                            GBM
Training
 No. of observations
                                  57
                                             57
                                       .1072901
             Log loss
                            .8466057
     Mean class error
                               .0625
                                          .0125
                            .9235294
                                       .9955882
                  AUC
                 AUCPR
                           .6822189
                                       .9889171
     Gini coefficient
                            .8470588
                                       .9911765
                  MSE
                            .0948292
                                       .0261993
                  RMSE
                            .3079434
                                        .161862
Validation
 No. of observations
                                  10
                                             10
             Log loss
                            .3177202
                                       .2774807
     Mean class error
                            .1666667
                                       .0714286
                   AUC
                            .9047619
                                        .952381
                 AUCPR
                            .8512376
                                        .904106
     Gini coefficient
                            .8095238
                                       .9047619
                  MSE
                                       .1002502
                              .11421
                  RMSE
                            .3379497
                                       .3166232
```

In the output, the first section reports training results, and the second section reports validation results. Looking at the validation results, we see that the GBM method outperforms the RF method. The log loss, mean of per-class error rates, MSE, and RMSE are all smaller for GBM, while AUC, AUCPR, and the Gini coefficient are larger for GBM, all of which indicate better performance.

Example 2: Comparing performance in H2OML on a new frame

In example 1, we compared the performance of two methods on the validation frame. If we instead wish to compare methods on a new data frame, we can take one of two approaches. In the first, we specify the frame in the frame() option or, if it is a testing frame, in the test() option.

```
. h2omlgof RF GBM, test(test)
Performance metrics for model comparison using H2O
Testing frame: test
```

	RF	GBM
Testing		
No. of observations	7	7
Log loss	.236301	.1155489
Mean class error	0	0
AUC	1	1
AUCPR	1	1
Gini coefficient	1	1
MSE	.0878302	.0364771
RMSE	. 2963615	.1909897

In the second approach, which we recommend, we use the h2omlpostestframe command to specify the postestimation frame to be used by this and other postestimation commands. With this approach, the new frame must be set for each set of estimation results. Thus, we first need to restore each set of estimates by using the h2omlest restore command. For the GBM results, we type

```
h2omlest restore GBM
(results GBM are active now)
h2omlpostestframe test
(testing frame test is now active for h2oml postestimation)
```

Similarly, for the RF results, we type

```
h2omlest restore RF
(results LF are active now)
h2omlpostestframe test
(testing frame test is now active for h2oml postestimation)
```

Finally, we compare the testing results by using the h2omlgof command.

```
. h2omlgof RF GBM
Performance metrics for model comparison using H2O
Testing frame: test
```

	RF	GBM
Testing		
No. of observations	7	7
Log loss	.236301	.1155489
Mean class error	0	0
AUC	1	1
AUCPR	1	1
Gini coefficient	1	1
MSE	.0878302	.0364771
RMSE	.2963615	.1909897

Here GBM again outperforms RF for most of the performance metrics.

Stored results

h2omlgof stores the following in r():

Macros	
r(names)	names of estimation results displayed
Matrices	
r(table)	matrix containing the values displayed

Also see

[H2OML] h2oml — Introduction to commands for Stata integration with H2O machine learning⁺
 [H2OML] h2omlestat metrics — Display performance metrics⁺

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2023 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



4

For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.